# **ADVANCED MATERIALS**

# Supporting Information

for Adv. Mater., DOI 10.1002/adma.202307402

High-Performance Organic Electrochemical Transistors Achieved by Optimizing Structural and Energetic Ordering of Diketopyrrolopyrrole-Based Polymers

Il-Young Jo, Dahyun Jeong, Yina Moon, Dongchan Lee, Seungjin Lee, Jun-Gyu Choi, Donghyeon Nam, Ji Hwan Kim, Jinhan Cho, Shinuk Cho, Dong-Yu Kim, HyungJu Ahn, Bumjoon J. Kim\* and Myung-Han Yoon\*

#### **Supporting Information**

#### High- Performance Organic Electrochemical Transistors Achieved by Optimizing Structural and Energetic Ordering of Diketopyrrolopyrrolebased Polymers

Il-Young Jo,<sup>†</sup> Dahyun Jeong,<sup>†</sup> Yina Moon, Dongchan Lee, Seungjin Lee, Jun-Gyu Choi, Donghyeon Nam, Ji Hwan Kim, Jinhan Cho, Shinuk Cho, Dong-Yu Kim, HyungJu Ahn, Bumjoon J. Kim,\* and Myung-Han Yoon\*

I.-Y. Jo, Y. Moon, J.-G. Choi, J. H. Kim, Prof. D.-Y. Kim, Prof. M.-H. Yoon School of Materials Science and Engineering Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST) Gwangju 61005, Republic of Korea E-mail: mhyoon@gist.ac.kr

D. Jeong, S. Lee, Prof. B. J. Kim Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST) Daejeon 34141, Republic of Korea E-mail: <u>bumjoonkim@kaist.ac.kr</u>

D. Lee, Prof. S. Cho Department of Physics and EHSRC University of Ulsan Ulsan 44610, Republic of Korea

D. Nam, Prof. J. Cho Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering Korea University Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea

H. Ahn Industrial Technology Convergence Center Pohang Accelerator Laboratory Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH) Pohang 37673, Republic of Korea

# Table of ContentsExperimental SectionMaterialsCharacterizationsSynthesis

#### **Supplementary Schemes, Figures, and Tables**

Scheme S1. Synthetic routes to monomers and polymers.

**Figure S1.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Compound 3a.

**Figure S2.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Compound 3b.

**Figure S3.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Compound 3c.

**Figure S4.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Compound 3d.

**Figure S5.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the monomers.

**Figure S6.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the polymers.

Figure S7. MALDI-ToF mass spectra of the polymers.

**Figure S8.** Electrochemical stability of the polymer films under 5 charging/discharging cycles in a 0.1 M NaCl aqueous electrolyte.

**Figure S9.** Cyclic voltammograms of the polymer films in 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate in N,N-dimethylformamide as an organic electrolyte.

**Figure S10.** Spectroelectrochemical UV–Vis absorption spectra of the polymer films in a 0.1 M NaCl aqueous electrolyte.

**Figure S11.** EIS curves for the polymers at different offset DC voltages relative to the Ag/AgCl electrode.

**Figure S12.** Volumetric capacitances of the polymers depending on the voltage of the working electrode calculated from EIS measurement.

Figure S13. Representative log-scale transfer curves of PDPP-mEG-based OECTs.

**Figure S14.**  $\mu_{OECT}$ –[ $\mu C^*$ ] plots for accumulation-mode OECTs.

Figure S15. Transient characteristics of OECTs.

Figure S16. Operational stability of OECT devices.

Figure S17. 2D GIXS images of the oxidized polymer films.

**Figure S18.** a) 2D GIXS images of the neat polymer films. The corresponding GIXS line-cut profiles in the b) in-plane and c) out-of-plane directions. d) Comparison of the coherence lengths and paracrystalline disorder parameters of (100) scattering peaks.

**Figure S19.** a) Schematics of a unidirectional floating film transfer (UFTM) method. b) Photograph of floating films where a linear polarizing film is applied in the parallel and perpendicular directions to polymer backbone orientation.

**Figure S20.** Cross-polarized OM images of spin-cast and UFTM films. The black arrow indicates the alignment direction of polymer backbones.

**Figure S21.** Schematics of polymer chain alignment during GIXS measurement and OECT fabrication.

**Figure S22.** EIS curves and volumetric capacitances of PDPP-4EG UFTM films depending on the voltage of the working electrode calculated from EIS measurement.

 Table S1. Performance metrics of p-type accumulation-mode OECTs.

 Table S2. Performance metrics of n-type accumulation-mode OECTs.

 Table S3. Performance metrics of depletion-mode OECTs.

**Table S4.** Coherence lengths and paracrystalline disorder parameters of the oxidized polymer films from (010) scattering peaks.

#### **Experimental Section**

#### Materials

Diethylene glycol monomethyl ether, triethylene glycol monomethyl ether, tetra ethylene glycol monomethyl ether, penta ethylene glycol monomethyl ether, 1,6-dibromohexane, hydride dispersion in mineral oil). sodium (60%) *N*-bromosuccinimide and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>) and were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Potassium carbonate was purchased from Samchun Chemicals. 3,6-Di(thiophen-2yl)pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4(2*H*,5*H*)-dione and 2,2'-bithiophene-5,5'-bis(trimethylstannane) were purchased from Derthon Optoelectronic Materials Science Technology Co LTD. All the materials were used without further purification.

#### Characterizations

*Chemical structural analysis.* <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer. Chloroform-*d* solutions of monomers and their precursors were prepared at the concentration of 2 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> and 40 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR measurements, respectively. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-*d*<sub>2</sub> solutions of polymers (10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) were used for the <sup>1</sup>H NMR measurement.

*Mass analysis.* For matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-ToF MS), molecular weight distributions of the polymers were investigated using a positive linear mode of Bruker autoflex III and *trans*-2-[3-(4-*tert*-butylphenyl)-2-methyl-2-propenylidene]malononitrile as a matrix.

*Optical analysis.* To obtain UV–Vis absorption spectra, the polymer solutions in chloroform at the concentration of 0.02 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> and chloroform-processed polymer films were used. For

polarized UV–Vis absorption spectra, a linear polarizing film was applied depending on the orientation. A dichroic ratio (DR) was calculated as the ratio of absorbance (A<sub>1</sub> / A<sub>2</sub>, where A is a peak absorbance) depending on the polarizing angle. The corresponding 2D order parameter (S<sub>2.mol</sub>) is calculated as (DR – 1)/(DR + 1).

*Spectroelectrochemical analysis.* Measurement was performed using Cary 5000 UV–Vis–NIR spectrophotometer. Change in absorption spectra was recorded for chloroform-processed polymer films on indium tin oxide (ITO)/glass substrates immersed in 0.1 M NaCl aqueous solution, depending on the potential applied to the films. The potential *versus* Ag/AgCl reference electrode was changed from 0 V to 0.8 V with an interval of 0.1 V.

*Electrochemical analysis.* Cyclic voltammograms (CV) were obtained using a common threeelectrode system with a VSP Potentiostat from BioLogic and EC-Lab software. Measurement was performed in both NaCl (0.1 M in water) and tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (0.1 M in acetonitrile) electrolyte with the scan rate was 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. The electrolyte was degassed with argon for 10 min before each measurement.

*Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) analysis.* Characterization was performed with an electrochemical workstation (Metrohm-Autolab, PGSTAT 302N), using a 0.1 M NaCl aqueous solution with an Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt sheet-type counter electrode, at the frequency range between 0.1 to 100 kHz with sinusoidal signals of  $E_{ac} = 25$  mV at  $E_{dc} = 0$  V. For sample preparation, active layers were coated on Au-coated glass substrates as the working electrode, while the rest of the exposed area of the active layer was passivated using epoxy glue. The aqueous electrolyte solution (0.1 M NaCl) degassed by nitrogen gas for 30 min was employed for all EIS measurements. The acquired curve was fitted with [ $R_s$  ( $R_p \cdot C_p$ )]

model using Nova software (Metrohm-Autolab). Next, the fitted  $C_p$  value was normalized with the corresponding polymer film volume as shown in the following equation:

$$C^* = \frac{C_P}{d \cdot A}$$

where  $C^*$ , d, A are volumetric capacitance, film thickness, and exposed active layer area, respectively.

Fabrication and characterization of OECTs: For the fabrication of OECTs on glass substrates, gold (Au, 45 nm) and chrome (Cr, 5 nm) were utilized as the source and drain electrodes, which were patterned by photolithography. Prior to the deposition of the patterned electrodes on the substrates, they were cleaned under ultrasonication using acetone (10 min) and isopropanol (10 min) and subsequently dried by blowing nitrogen. Active materials were dissolved in chloroform to the concentration of 10 mg  $mL^{-1}$ . To prepare the OECT active films, the polymer solutions were spin-coated on the substrates at 2500 rpm for 60 s. It is noted that any pre- or post-treatment was not applied to improve the morphology of polymer films. For active layer patterning, CYTOP (CTL-809M, Asahi Glass Co.) was spin-coated at 2000 rpm and then baked at 100 °C for 1 h. For the hydrophilicity of the CYTOP surface, O<sub>2</sub> plasma was treated for 5 s, and positive photoresist (GXR-601, Microchemicals GmbH) was spin-coated and patterned through conventional photolithography. After immersion in fluorosolvent (NOVEC 7200, 3M) to dissolve CYTOP, stirred at 50 °C, overnight. The fabricated OECT active layer had a channel length of 20  $\mu$ m and a channel width of 80  $\mu$ m. The performance parameters of the OECTs were measured using a Keithley 2400 source meter and customized MATLAB code, while an Ag/AgCl electrode and an aqueous solution

(0.1 M NaCl) were employed as the gate electrode and the electrolyte of OECTs, respectively. Note that the aqueous electrolyte solution (0.1 M NaCl) was degassed by bubbling nitrogen gas for 30 min and all OECT characterizations were performed in a nitrogen-purged glove box. Film thickness values used for OECT characterizations and  $C^*$  calculation were measured using a Dektak profilometer.

*Fabrication and characterization of OFETs*: OFET devices were fabricated as bottom-gate top-contact device architecture. A highly doped Si wafer was used as a gate electrode, and 200 nm-thick SiO<sub>2</sub> with a specific capacitance ( $C_i$ ) of 17.3 nF cm<sup>-2</sup> was used as a gate dielectric. Octadecyltrichlorosilane (ODTS) was treated with SiO<sub>2</sub> and polymer solutions of a concentration of 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> in chloroform were spin-casted onto ODTS-treated SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrates at 2500 rpm for 60 s. Then, 70 nm-thick Au was deposited by thermal evaporation using a patterned shadow mask. The electrical properties of OFET devices were measured with a Keithley 4200. The metal-oxide semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET) equation used for the calculation of the parameters is

$$I_{\rm D} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{W}{L} \mu C_i (V_G - V_{th})^2$$

where  $I_D$  is the drain current,  $V_G$  is the gate voltage,  $V_{th}$  is the threshold voltage, and  $C_i$  is the specific capacitance of the dielectric layer.

*Thermal admittance spectroscopy (TAS) analysis*: The devices were prepared with the architecture of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/polymer/PNDIT-F3N-Br/Ag. The frequency-dependent capacitance response was obtained using an impedance analyzer (IVIUM tech., CompactStat) ranging from 1 Hz to 1 MHz at zero bias with a modulated signal of 20 mV under dark

condition. The frequency-capacitance response was converted to the trap density of states (tDOS) distribution corresponding to the trap level using the following equation:

$$N_T(E_{\omega}) = -\frac{V_{bi}}{qW} \frac{dC}{d\omega} \frac{\omega}{k_B T}$$

where W is the width of a dielectric layer, C is the capacitance,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency, q is the electric charge,  $k_{\rm B}$  is the Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature, and  $V_{\rm bi}$  is the builtin potential defined as the internal electric field between electrodes under short-circuit condition. <sup>[1, 2]</sup> In this study, we set the  $V_{\rm bi}$  value of 0.77 V based on the work function difference between the anode (ITO, -4.70 eV) and cathode (Ag/PNDIT-F3N-Br, -3.93 eV). The trap level ( $E_{\omega}$ ) with corresponding to an applied angular frequency  $\omega_0$  is given by:<sup>[3]</sup>

$$E_{\omega} = k_B T \ln\left(\frac{\omega_0}{\omega}\right)$$

where  $\omega_0$  is attempt-to-escape frequency.

*Microstructural analysis.* For grazing-incidence X-ray scattering (GIXS) measurements, ascast polymer films were prepared by spin-casting polymers in chloroform solutions on ITO substrates, each with a 1 cm × 1 cm square shape. To prepare the oxidized samples, the films were oxidized in a 0.1 M NaCl aqueous electrolyte by adjusting the potential *versus* Ag/AgCl reference to 0.8V. GIXS analyses were performed at the 9A beamline in Pohang Accelerator Laboratory, Republic of Korea. Beam damage was minimized by measuring samples for a short exposure time of less than 10 s in a vacuum (~  $10^{-3}$  torr). Also, to prevent beam damage during sample alignment, an X-ray attenuator was used for the beam intensity to be reduced tenfold. The sample-to-detector distance was 222.429 mm. The incidence angle of X-ray was 0.12° to penetrate the full height of thin films and the beam energy was 11.08 keV. The incident beam had an ellipsoid shape with horizontal and vertical dimensions of 320 µm and 60 µm, respectively. The detector (MX170-HS from Rayonix) was used, which had a

detection dimension of 170 mm × 170 mm, a pixel number of  $1920 \times 1920$ , and a pixel size of 0.089 mm. The beam calibration was proceeded by using a pre-calibrated reference, sucrose, and calibrating a pixel diffraction angle. Corrected (missing wedge-shaped) 2D GIXS images and the corresponding line-cut profiles were extracted using customized MATLAB scripts. The crystal coherence lengths ( $L_c$ s) of reflection peaks were calculated from the following Scherrer equation,

$$L_{\rm c} = \frac{2\pi K}{\Delta q}$$

where *K* and  $\Delta q$  are the shape factor (the value of 0.9 was applied in this work) and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of diffraction peaks, respectively. To calculate FWHMs of (100) and (010) scattering peaks, a Gaussian fitting for each peak was carried out. The paracrystalline disorder parameter (*g*) values were estimated from the following equation,

$$g \approx \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\Delta q \cdot d}$$

where *d* is the interplanar spacing of diffraction peaks.

*Thermal analysis.* For differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) sample preparation, bulk polymer samples were collected in DSC pans. Thermal properties were extracted from the first heating cycles from 20 to 285 °C at a rate of 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup> by a TA Instruments DSC 25.

Unidirectional Floating Film Transfer Method (UFTM). The active material (PDPP-4EG) was dissolved in chloroform to the concentration of 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>. The liquid substrate for polymer transfer was prepared by combining ethylene glycol and glycerol in a volumetric ratio of 3:1. The mixture was then poured into a glass bath positioned on a hot plate preheated to 55 °C. A custom-made slide was carefully placed in the bath. Subsequently, 15  $\mu$ L of polymer solution was dispensed onto the interface between the glass slide and the liquid substrate. The

elongated polymer film was transferred onto a pre-cleaned substrate, rinsed with ethanol to eliminate any residue, and dried using nitrogen gas. To obtain sufficiently thick active channels (~60 nm), the polymer films were stacked through three times of film transfer. It was confirmed through AFM measurement that there was no significant deviation in thickness depending on the position of polymer films. The rest of device fabrication and characterization procedures were same as those of conventional OECTs based on spin-cast polymer films.

**Synthesis** 



Scheme S1. Synthetic routes to monomers and polymers.

*Compound 1a*: Diethylene glycol monomethyl ether (11.0 g, 92 mmol) was added dropwise using a syringe to a mixture of NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 5.5 g, 137 mmol) and 1,6-dibromohexane (67.0 g, 275 mmol) in THF (50 mL) in a one-necked flask. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Then, the mixture was quenched with water. The organic phase was washed with deionized water, extracted with chloroform, dried with anhydrous magnesium sulfate (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and filtered. The residual solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified using column chromatography on silica gel with a dichloromethane (DCM)/acetone solvent mixture (9:1 v/v) as an eluent to yield a colorless liquid (19.7 g, 76%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  3.66–3.62 (m, 4H), 3.61–3.53 (m, 4H), 3.46 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.90–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.63–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.49–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.41–1.32 (m, 2H).

*Compound 1b*: Diethylene glycol monomethyl ether for the synthesis of compound 1a was replaced with triethylene glycol monomethyl ether using the same molar stoichiometry. The rest of synthetic procedure was same as that of compound 1a (22.2 g, 74% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR

(400 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 3.68 – 3.62 (m, 8H), 3.59–3.53 (m, 4H), 3.45 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.90–1.82 (m, 2H), 1.63–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.49–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.33 (m, 2H).

*Compound 1c*: Diethylene glycol monomethyl ether for the synthesis of compound 1a was replaced with tetraethylene glycol monomethyl ether using the same molar stoichiometry. The rest of synthetic procedure was same as that of compound 1a (23.1 g, 68% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  3.68–3.62 (m, 12H), 3.59–3.53 (m, 4H), 3.45 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.90–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.63–1.54 (m, 2H), 1.49 – 1.41 (m, 2H), 1.41 – 1.32 (m, 2H).

*Compound 1d*: Diethylene glycol monomethyl ether for the synthesis of compound 1a was replaced with pentaethylene glycol monomethyl ether using the same molar stoichiometry. The rest of synthetic procedure was same as that of compound 1a (26.2 g, 69% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  3.68–3.61 (m, 16H), 3.59–3.53 (m, 4H), 3.45 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.90–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.50–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.41–1.32 (m, 2H).

*Compound 2a*: To a solution of 3,6-di(thiophen-2-yl)pyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1,4(2*H*,5*H*)-dione (3.0 g, 10 mmol) in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (60 mL) in a nitrogen-purged one-necked flask, potassium carbonate (5.5 g, 40 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at 140 °C for 1 h. Then, compound 1a (8.5 g, 30 mmol) was added slowly and the mixture was further stirred at 140 °C overnight. After cooling the mixture, the organic phase was washed with deionized water, extracted with chloroform, dried with anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and filtered. The residual solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified using column chromatography on silica gel with a DCM/acetone solvent mixture (7:3 v/v) as an eluent to yield a purple solid (4.9 g, 70%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.92 (dd, *J* = 3.9, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 3.9 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 3.66–3.62 (m, 8H), 3.60–3.53 (m, 8H), 3.44 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 1.80–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.63–1.54 (m, 4H), 1.49–1.35 (m, 8H).

*Compound 2b*: Compound 1a for the synthesis of compound 2a was replaced with compound 1b using the same molar stoichiometry. The rest of synthetic procedure was same as that of

compound 2a (5.9 g, 67% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.92 (dd, J = 3.9, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (dd, J = 5.1, 3.9 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 3.67–3.61 (m, 16H), 3.59 – 3.52 (m, 8H), 3.44 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 1.80–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.63–1.54 (m, 4H), 1.48–1.35 (m, 8H).

*Compound 2c*: Compound 1a for the synthesis of compound 2a was replaced with compound 1c using the same molar stoichiometry. The rest of synthetic procedure was same as that of compound 2a (5.9 g, 66% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.92 (dd, *J* = 3.8, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 4.4, 3.9 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 4H), 3.68–3.60 (m, 24H), 3.60–3.52 (m, 8H), 3.44 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 1.81–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.65–1.54 (m, 4H), 1.48–1.34 (m, 8H).

*Compound 2d*: Compound 1a for the synthesis of compound 2a was replaced with compound 1d using the same molar stoichiometry. The rest of synthetic procedure was same as that of compound 2a (5.6 g, 58% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.92 (dd, *J* = 3.8, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 4.4, 3.9 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 4H), 3.68–3.60 (m, 32H), 3.60–3.52 (m, 8H), 3.44 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 1.80–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.65–1.54 (m, 4H), 1.48–1.35 (m, 8H).

*Compound 3a*: Compound 2a (4.9 g, 7.0 mmol) in chloroform was prepared in a flask and under dark condition. NBS (2.5 g, 14.6 mmol) was added in several portions and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The organic phase was washed with deionized water, extracted with chloroform, dried with anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and filtered. The residual solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified using column chromatography on silica gel with a DCM/acetone solvent mixture (8:2) as an eluent and recrystallized using methanol/chloroform solvent mixture to yield a purple solid (4.7 g, 78%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.67 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 3.66–3.62 (m, 8H), 3.60–3.53 (m, 8H), 3.45 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 1.77–1.67 (m, 4H), 1.64–1.55 (m, 4H), 1.48–1.36 (m, 8H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.25, 139.18, 135.59, 131.90, 131.27, 119.40, 108.01, 77.55, 77.23, 76.91, 72.17, 71.47, 70.87, 70.76, 70.31, 59.27, 42.38, 31.15, 30.20, 29.71, 26.91, 25.98.

Compound 3b: Compound 2a was replaced with compound 2b using the same molar

stoichiometry. The rest of synthetic procedure was same as that of compound 3a (5.7 g, 80% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.67 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 3.68–3.61 (m, 16H), 3.59–3.52 (m, 8H), 3.45 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 1.77–1.67 (m, 4H), 1.64–1.54 (m, 4H), 1.48–1.36 (m, 8H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.25, 139.19, 135.60, 131.91, 131.27, 119.40, 108.01, 77.55, 77.23, 76.91, 72.16, 71.47, 70.84, 70.81, 70.74, 70.31, 59.26, 42.39, 30.20, 29.72, 26.92, 25.98.

*Compound 3c*: Compound 2a was replaced with compound 2c using the same molar stoichiometry. The rest of synthetic procedure was same as that of compound 3a (5.1 g, 73% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.67 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 3.68–3.61 (m, 24H), 3.59–3.52 (m, 8H), 3.44 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 1.77–1.67 (m, 4H), 1.64–1.55 (m, 4H), 1.48–1.36 (m, 8H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.24, 139.19, 135.60, 131.91, 131.27, 119.41, 108.01, 77.55, 77.23, 76.91, 72.15, 71.48, 70.82, 70.79, 70.73, 70.32, 59.26, 42.39, 30.20, 29.72, 26.92, 25.98.

*Compound 3d*: Compound 2a was replaced with compound 2d using the same molar stoichiometry. The rest of synthetic procedure was same as that of compound 3a (4.8 g, 73% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.67 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 3.69–3.60 (m, 32H), 3.59–3.52 (m, 8H), 3.44 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 1.78–1.69 (m, 4H), 1.64–1.55 (m, 4H), 1.48–1.35 (m, 8H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.23, 139.18, 135.59, 131.90, 131.26, 119.40, 108.00, 77.55, 77.23, 76.91, 72.15, 71.47, 70.82, 70.80, 70.79, 70.73, 70.31, 59.26, 42.38, 30.19, 29.71, 26.92, 25.97.



**Figure S1.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Compound 3a.



Figure S2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Compound 3b.



**Figure S3.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Compound 3c.



**Figure S4.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Compound 3d.



Figure S5. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the monomers.

**PDPP-2EG**: In a 20 mL vial, compound 3a (86.7 mg, 0.1 mmol), 2,2'-bithiophene-5,5'bis(trimethylstannane) (49.2 mg, 0.1 mmol), Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> catalyst (3.1 mg, 3 µmol) were dissolved in 2.5 mL chlorobenzene. After purging with argon, the solution was stirred at the temperature of 120 °C for 4 h. The crude product was precipitated in methanol and purified through Soxhlet extraction. The polymer was sequentially washed with methanol, acetone, and hexane and extracted from chloroform. The chloroform fraction was roughly dried using a rotary evaporator and fully dried in a high vacuum (58 mg, 67% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane- $d_2$ )  $\delta$  8.92 – 8.86 (m), 8.59 – 8.55 (m), 7.39–7.05 (m), 4.17–4.07 (m), 4.06–4.00 (m), 3.68–3.47 (m), 3.39 (d, J = 5.2 Hz), 1.88–1.75 (m), 1.71–1.60 (m), 1.58–1.43 (m), 1.39–1.28 (m).

**PDPP-3EG**: The synthetic procedure was same as that of PDPP-2EG (75 mg, 78% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane- $d_2$ )  $\delta$  8.85 (br s), 6.83 (br s), 4.00–3.30 (m), 2.00–1.16 (m).

**PDPP-4EG**: The synthetic procedure was same as that of PDPP-2EG (74 mg, 71% yield). <sup>1</sup>H

NMR (400 MHz, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane-*d*<sub>2</sub>) δ 8.83 (br s), 6.79 (br s), 4.04–3.29 (m), 2.10–1.20 (m).

*PDPP-5EG*: The synthetic procedure was same with that of PDPP-2EG (70 mg, 62% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane- $d_2$ )  $\delta$  8.89 (br s), 6.92 (br s), 3.90–3.33 (m), 2.20–1.23 (m).



**Figure S6.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the polymers.



**Figure S7.** MALDI-ToF mass spectra of the polymers. The number of DPP units corresponding to each molecular weight is notated above each peak.



**Figure S8.** Electrochemical stability tests of PDPP-mEG polymers by running 5 charging/discharging cycles in a 0.1 M NaCl aqueous electrolyte. The red-colored tangents were used to determine the oxidation onsets.



**Figure S9.** Cyclic voltammograms of the polymer films in 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide as an organic electrolyte.



**Figure S10.** Spectroelectrochemical UV–Vis absorption spectra of the polymer films in a 0.1 M NaCl aqueous electrolyte.



**Figure S11.** EIS curves for the polymers at different offset DC voltages relative to the Ag/AgCl electrode.



**Figure S12.** Volumetric capacitances of the polymers depending on the voltage of the working electrode calculated from EIS measurement.



Figure S13. Representative log-scale transfer curves of PDPP-mEG-based OECTs.



**Figure S14.**  $\mu_{\text{OECT}}$ -[ $\mu C^*$ ] plots for accumulation-mode OECTs. For a fair comparison, only OECT devices using NaCl, KCl, or phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solutions as gate electrolytes were considered.



Figure S15. Transient characteristics of OECTs.



**Figure S16.** Operational stability of OECT devices. The stability tests were conducted by switching on ( $V_G = -0.7$  V) and off ( $V_G = 0$  V) every 4.5 s and the active channels were subjected to the continuous doping and dedoping cycles by 600 times for 1.5 h.



Figure S17. 2D GIXS images of the oxidized polymer films.



**Figure S18.** a) 2D GIXS images of the neat polymer films. The corresponding GIXS line-cut profiles in the b) in-plane and c) out-of-plane directions. d) Comparison of the coherence lengths and paracrystalline disorder parameters of (100) scattering peaks, which were averaged from at least three different films for each polymer.



**Figure S19.** a) Schematics of overall polymer chain alignments in films prepared by spincasting and UFTM. b) Schematics of the UFTM method. c) Photograph of floating films where a linear polarizing film is applied in the parallel and perpendicular directions to polymer backbone orientation.



**Figure S20.** Cross-polarized OM images of spin-cast and UFTM films. The black arrow indicates the alignment direction of polymer backbones.



**Figure S21.** Schematics of polymer chain alignment during GIXS measurement and OECT fabrication.  $\alpha_i$ : X-ray incident angle;  $\alpha_f$ : exit angle;  $\beta$ : in-plane angle.



**Figure S22.** a) EIS curves for PDPP-4EG UFTM films at different offset DC voltages relative to the Ag/AgCl electrode. b) Volumetric capacitances of UFTM PDPP-4EG films extracted from EIS measurements as a function of the voltage of the working electrode.

 Table S1. Performance metrics of *p*-type accumulation-mode OECTs based on liquid gate electrolytes.

ereetre	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
Year	Active materials	$\begin{array}{c} \mu_{\text{OECT}} \\ [\text{cm}^2 \\ \text{V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}] \end{array}$	C* [F cm <sup>-3</sup> ]	$[\mu C^*]$ [F V <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\mu_{\text{OECT}} \times C^*$ [F V <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]	Electrolyte	Ref.
2014	PTHS+EG	$1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	124	5.5	0.16	0.1M NaCl	[4]
2016	gBDT-g2T	0.018	77	4.8	1.4	0.1M NaCl	[5]
2016	g2T-T	0.28	220	167	62	0.1M NaCl	[5]
2016	p(g2T-TT)	1.27	241	261	227	0.1M NaCl	[6]
2010		0.10 10-9	-	4.09 ×			[7]
2018	PTHSTBA	8.18 × 10 ×	5	$10^{-8}$		0.1M NaCl	[7]
2018	PTHS <sup>-</sup> TEA <sup>+</sup>	$8.21\times10^{-9}$	22	$\begin{array}{c} 1.81 \times \\ 10^{-7} \end{array}$		0.1M NaCl	[7]
2018	$PTHS^{-}TMA^{+}$	$2.67 \times 10^{-11}$	82	$2.19 \times 10^{-9}$		0.1M NaCl	[7]
2018	PTHS <sup>-</sup> TBA <sup>+</sup> +EG	$2.88\times10^{-11}$	15	$4.32 \times 10^{-10}$		0.1M NaCl	[7]
2018	PTHS <sup>-</sup> TEA <sup>+</sup> +EG	$5.37\times10^{-8}$	13	$6.98 \times 10^{-7}$		0.1M NaCl	[7]
2018	gBDT-MeOT2		95			0.1M NaCl	[8]
2018	ProDOT(OE)-DMP	0.063	111	6.993		0.1M NaCl	[9]
2019	p(g2T-TT)			268		0.1M NaF	[10]
2019	p(g2T-TT)			271		0.1M NaCl	[10]
2019	p(g2T-TT)			381		0.1M NaBr	[10]
2019	p(g2T-TT)			393		$0.1 M \text{ NaBF}_4$	[10]
2019	p(g2T-TT)			458		0.1M NaSBF <sub>6</sub>	[10]
2019	P3HT			10.4		0.1M KCl	[11]
2019	P3MEEMT	0.281	175	49.1		0.1M KCl	[11]
2019	P3MEEMT	0.329	294	96.7		$0.1 \text{ KPF}_6$	[11]
2019	TFT-T			10		0.1M NaCl	[12]
2019	PTHS TMA		82		0.07	0.1M NaCl	[13]
2019	PTHS TMA -co-P3HT 1		107		0.97	0.1M NaCl	[13]
2019	PIHSTMA -co-P3H12		100		1.7	0.1M NaCl	[13]
2019	P3CP1		150		0.001	0.1M NaCl	[14]
2020	g-0%		19		0.001	0.1M NaCl	[15]
2020	g-50%		97		0.873	0.1M NaCl	[15]
2020	g-75%		206		162.25	0.1M NaCl	[15]
2020	g-100%		297		105.55	0.1M NaCl	[15]
2020	2g D2MEET	$5.2 \times 10^{-4}$	251	0.04	10.17	0.1M NaCl	[13]
2020	PONIEE I D2MEEMT	$5.2 \times 10$	80 160	0.04		0.1M NaCl	[10]
2020	POMEENII D2MEEET	0.06	242	9.8		0.1M NaCl	[10]
2020	$r_{\text{SWIEEE1}}$	0.05	242 60	11.5	18	0.1M NaCl	[10]
2020	p(gryDrr-MeO12)		52.6		1.0	0.1M NaCl	[17]
2020		2.17	55.0	100.269		0.1M NaCl	[10]
2020	PEDUI:PSS+DEMIA	2.17	50.4	109.308		0.1M NaCl	[18]
2020	PEDOT:PSS+TAEA		46.1	0.01		0.1M NaCl	[18]
2020	P4E4+PEO		124	0.81		0.1M NaCl	[19]
2020	$PCPDIBI-SO_3K$	10-4	134	0		0.1M NaCl	[20]
2020	p(g(212-1))	~10	8	9 125		U.IM NaCl	[21]
2020	$p(g_{312-1})$	0.10	211	155		U.IM NaCI	[21]
2020	$p(g_{12}-1)$	0.06	192	54 161		0.1M NaCl	[21]
2020	$p(g_{2}T_{2})$	0.90	107	101		0.1M NaCl	[22]
2020	p(g(212-g(412)))	1.72	18/	322 406		0.11VI NaCI	[22]
2020	p(g112-g512)	2.01	155	490		0.11VI INACI	[22]

2020	p(g0T2-g6T2)	2.95	74	302		0.1M NaCl	[22]
2021	PTDPP-DT	1.1	123	149		0.1M NaCl	[23]
2021	РЗННТ	0.04		35		0.1M KCl	[24]
2021	p(gDPP-TT)	0.57	184	125		0.1M NaCl	[25]
2021	p(gDPP-T2)	1.55	196	342		0.1M NaCl	[25]
2021	p(gDPP-MeOT2)	0.28	169	57		0.1M NaCl	[25]
2021	$p(g_42T-T)$	0.39	258	86	100	0.1M NaCl	[26]
2021	$p[p(g_42T-T)-co-U]$	0.15	279	36	42	0.1M NaCl	[26]
2021	P(aDTDPP-bis-EDOT)	0.095	21.50	2.04		0.1M NaCl	[27]
2021	P(gDTDPP-bis-EDOT)	0.087	189.6	16.5		0.1M NaCl	[27]
2021	P(gDTDPP-aBT)	0.044	24.73	1.08		0.1M NaCl	[27]
2021	<i>p</i> -P3HT			347		0.1M KCl	[28]
2021	d-P3HT			147		0.1M KCl	[28]
2021	<i>n</i> -DPPDTT			62		0.1M KCl	[28]
2021	d-DPPDTT			49		0.1M KCl	[28]
2021	<i>n</i> -Pσ2T-T			94		0.1M KCl	[28]
2021	p r g 2 r r $d P \sigma 2 T T$			78		0.1M KCl	[20]
2021	$a^{-1}g^{-1}r^{-1}$			70		0.1101 KC1	[20]
2021	EDOT	0.084	167	14.1		0.1M NaCl	[29]
2021	PDPP[T] <sub>2</sub> {TEG}3- MEET	0.133	338	45.4		0.1M NaCl	[29]
2021	P(bgDPP-T)	1.59	3.7	6		0.1M NaCl	[30]
2021	P(bgDPP-T2)	0.50	84.1	42		0.1M NaCl	[30]
2021	P(lgDPP-MeOT2)	2.15	80.8	174		0.1M NaCl	[30]
2021	P(bgDPP-MeOT2)	1.63	120.0	195		0.1M NaCl	[30]
2021	p(g2T2)	0.03	187	5.0		0.1M NaCl	[31]
2021	p(g2T2-g3T2)	2.35	161	378		0.1M NaCl	[31]
2021	p(g3T2)	1.04	122	127		0.1M NaCl	[31]
2021	pgBTTT	3.44	164	502	563	0.1M NaCl	[32]
2021	p(g2T-TT)	0.41	244	93	90	0.1M NaCl	[32]
2021	PgBT(F)2gT	0.060	170	6.29		0.1M NaCl	[33]
2021	PgBT(F)2gTT	0.931	111	99.7		0.1M NaCl	[33]
2021	2DPP-OD-TEG	0.516	61.6	31.8		0.1M NaClO <sub>4</sub>	[34]
2021	DPP-DTT+PS	7.28	71	123.4		$0.1M \text{ KPE}_{c}$	[35]
2021	TDPP-oTVT	11	173 5	205.2		0.1M NaCl	[36]
2021	TDPP_GTRTT	0.18	172.5	205.2		0.1M NaCl	[36]
2021	$p(q^2T_TT)$	1.82	171 /	21.5		0.1M NaCl	[30]
2022	$p(g_2 T T T)$ $p(n^2 T T T)$	1.02	103 21	182.24		0.1M NaCl	[37]
2022	p(p2T-TT) p(h2TTT)	3.03	113.03	342.24		0.1M NaCl	[37]
2022	p(021-11)	2.03 $2.83 \times 10^{-5}$	115.95	0.008		0.1M NaCl	[37]
2022		2.83 × 10	202	151		0.1M NaCl	[30]
2022				131		0.1M NaCl	[39]
2022	$P_{2}$ CDDT 2-T2	0.00	140	15		0.1M NaCl	[39]
2022	P3gCPD1-2g12	0.00	140	92.0		0.1M NaCl	[40]
2022	P3gCPD1-1g12	0.90	520	288.0		0.1M NaCl	[40]
2022	P3gCPD1-MeO12	3.10	145	448.6		0.1M NaCl	[40]
2022	IG-I	$2.7 \times 10^{-1}$	/6.2	0.20		0.1M NaCl	[41]
2022	TIG-T	1.1	121	132		0.1M NaCl	[41]
2022	TIG-BT	0.61	82.1	55		0.1M NaCl	[41]
2022	P3MEEMT	0.23	215.5	49.1		0.1M KCl	[42]
2022	P3APPT	0.38	81.0	30.5		0.1M KCl	[42]
2022	P3AAPT	0.36	25.4	9.2		0.1M KCl	[42]
2022	P3PAAT		1.3			0.1M KC1	[42]
2022	P3MEEMT	0.37	259	96.7		$0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KPF}_{6}$	[42]
2022	P3APPT	0.27	152.0	41.3		$0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KPF}_{6}$	[42]
			<b>S</b> 30				

2022	<b>P3AAPT</b>	0.20	167.2	33.2	$0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KPF}_{6}$	[42]
2022	<b>P3PAAT</b>	0.16	84.0	13.3	$0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KPF}_{6}$	[42]
2022	p(g2T-T)	~2.1	~180	~380	0.1M NaCl	[43]
2022	TIIP	0.05	32	1.75	0.1M NaCl	[44]
2022	p(g3T2-T)+BCF	3.35	166	556.31	0.1M NaCl	[45]
2022	PBBTL	0.034	143	4.82	0.1M NaCl	[46]
2022	PBBTL+BBL	0.022	124	2.72	0.1M NaCl	[46]
2022	PrC <sub>60</sub> MA+p(g2T-TT)			22.8	0.1M KCl	[47]
2022	P(gTDPPT)	0.40	161	65.1	0.1M NaCl	[48]
2022	P(3HT-co-3HHT)	0.189	190	36	0.1M KCl	[49]
2022	P(3HT-co-	0.132	190	25	0.1M KCl	[49]
2023	G2  DMP	0.32	227	73	0.1M NaCl	[50]
2023	C2 DMP	0.32	227	73 57	0.1M NaCl	[50]
2023	C4 DMP	0.20	214	200	0.1M NaCl	[50]
2023	$D_{\rm P}(q T2 TT)$	0.94	120	200	0.1W NaCl	[50]
2022	$p(g_4 I 2 - I I)$ $p(g_4 I 2 - I I)^{a}$	5.13	308	2008	0.1M NaCl	[51]
2022	$p(g_4 1 2 - 11)$	0.55	308 84.0	13.4	0.1 M NaCl	[51]
2023	$Cu_3(\Pi\Pi\PiP)_2$	0.10	04.9 5 1	13.4	0.05 M CaCl <sub>2</sub>	[52]
2023	CPE-C2-INa CPE-C2-INa		220		0.1M NaCl	[53]
2025	CPE-C3-INa CPE-C4 No		220		0.1M NaCl	[33]
2023	CPE-C4-INa CPE C5 No		230		0.1M NaCl	[53]
2025	D2MEEET	0.14	210	21.4	0.1M NaCl	[33]
2023	P3MEEEI D2MEEET	0.14	219	31.4 222	0.1M NaCI	[54]
2023	POMEEEI DeDT(Ler)2eTT	2.14	130	332 145 22	0.02M KIFSI	[54]
2023	PgB1(Ion)2g11	1.02	143	145.33	0.1M NaCl	[33]
2023	PgB1(InEG)2g11	0.20	147	39.37 125.42	0.1M NaCl	[55]
2023	PgB1(F)2g11	1.03	121	125.43	0.1M NaCI	[33]
2023	Nation-P3H1	0.6	6.1	3.5	PBS	[50]
2023	g212-gB12	0.18	224	40	0.1M NaCl	[57]
2023	g212-gB14	0.99	364	359	0.1M NaCl	[57]
2023	g212-gB16	0.47	435	203	0.1M NaCl	[57]
2023	INDTP-P	0.26	147	38	0.1M NaCl	[58]
2023	outDTP-P	0.61	113	69	0.1M NaCl	[58]
2023	inDTP-T	1.65	162	267	0.1M NaCl	[58]
2023	outDTP-T	0.38	117	45	0.1M NaCl	[58]
2023	inDTP-2T	0.68	168	115	0.1M NaCl	[58]
2023	outDTP-2T	0.39	166	65	0.1M NaCl	[58]
2023	p-Pg2T-T			354.6	PBS	[59]
2023	PDPP-2EG	0.21	97.89	20	0.1M NaCl	
2023	PDPP-3EG	4.32	113.58	491	0.1M NaCl	
2023	PDPP-4EG	6.52	107.72	702	0.1M NaCl	
2023	PDPP-5EG <sup>b)</sup>	3.20	107.88	346	0.1M NaCl	

<sup>a)</sup> The post-purified polymer batch was used by dividing one batch into several fractions with lower dispersity as well as removing residual palladium using a preparative gel permeation chromatography. <sup>b)</sup> Developed in this work.

 Table S2. Performance metrics of *n*-type accumulation-mode OECTs based on liquid gate electrolytes.

			<i>C</i> ++	r ~~	<i>C</i> +		
Verr	Active	$\mu_{\text{OECT}}$	<i>C</i> *	$[\mu C^*]$	$\mu_{\text{OECT}} \times C^*$		Def
year	materials <sup>a)</sup>	$[cm^{-1}]_{N^{-1}}$	[F cm <sup>-3</sup> ]	$[\mathbf{F} \mathbf{V}^{-1}]$	[ <b>f V</b> - cm <sup>-1</sup> c <sup>-1</sup> ]	Electrolyte	ĸei.
2016	$P(\alpha NDI \alpha T2)$	$\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{s}}{3.1 \times 10^{-4}}$	307			0.1M NaCl	[60]
2010	$\frac{1}{(gNDI-g12)}$	$5.1 \times 10$	100	0.10	0.12	0.1101 NaCl	[00] [60]
2010	r (gNDI-12) BBI	$7 \times 10^{-4}$	030	0.651		0.1M NaCl	[00]
2018	DDL D 75	7 × 10	188.0	0.051	0.027	0.1M NaCl	[01]
2018	F-73 P.00		100.0		0.027	0.1M NaCl	[02]
2018	P-90		198.2		0.047	0.1M NaCl	[02]
2018	P-100		192.4	0.054	0.038	0.1M NaCl	[02]
2019	P-90	0.02	317	0.054	0.059	0.1M NaCl	[63]
2019	Cou-IEG	0.03	220	7.0		0.1M KCI	[64]
2019	P-90:PFB1	$1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	61.9	0.0008		0.1M NaCl	[65]
2019	P-90:MBT	$1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	132.5	0.0023		0.1M NaCl	[65]
2020	p(NDI-T2-L2)	$3.26 \times 10^{-5}$	95	0.31		PBS	[66]
2020	P-90+TBAF		143			0.1M NaCl	[67]
2021	PgNaN	$6.50 \times 10^{-3}$	100	0.662	0.652	0.1M NaCl	[68]
2021	PgNgN	$1.89 \times 10^{-4}$	239	0.037	0.046	0.1M NaCl	[68]
2021	p(C <sub>4</sub> -T2)	$1.90 \times 10^{-3}$	158	0.30		0.1M NaCl	[69]
2021	$p(C_4$ -T2-OMe)	$3.87 \times 10^{-4}$	170	0.07		0.1M NaCl	[69]
2021	$p(C_4-T2-C_0-EG)$	$1.16 \times 10^{-3}$	188	0.22		0.1M NaCl	[69]
2021	p(C <sub>4</sub> -T2-C <sub>2</sub> -EG)	$4.99 \times 10^{-5}$	200	0.01		0.1M NaCl	[69]
2021	p(C <sub>4</sub> -T2-C <sub>4</sub> -EG)	$5.34  imes 10^{-5}$	116	0.006		0.1M NaCl	[69]
2021	p(C <sub>2</sub> -T2)	$3.97 imes 10^{-4}$	492	0.20		0.1M NaCl	[69]
2021	p(C <sub>4</sub> -T2)	$1.90  imes 10^{-3}$	158	0.30		0.1M NaCl	[69]
2021	p(C <sub>6</sub> -T2)	$4.74  imes 10^{-3}$	272	1.29		0.1M NaCl	[69]
2021	p(C <sub>8</sub> -T2)	$3.76  imes 10^{-4}$	342	0.13		0.1M NaCl	[69]
2021	p(gNDI-gT2)	$2.7 imes 10^{-4}$	221	0.06		0.1M NaCl	[70]
2021	p(C3-gNDI-gT2)	$1.81  imes 10^{-3}$	72	0.13		0.1M NaCl	[70]
2021	p(C6-gNDI-gT2)	$2.71  imes 10^{-3}$	59	0.16		0.1M NaCl	[70]
2021	BBL	$2.14 \times 10^{-3}$	731	1.99	1.57	0.1M NaCl	[71]
2021	P-90	$7.45  imes 10^{-5}$	261.5	0.0343	0.0195	0.1M NaCl	[71]
2021	2DPP-OD-TEG	0.0465	146.2	6.8		0.1M NaClO <sub>4</sub>	[34]
2021	f-BTI2TEG-T	0.044	52	2.30		0.1M NaCl	[72]
2021	f-BTI2TEG-FT	0.034	443	15.20		0.1M NaCl	[72]
2021	p([75:25]NDI-93T2)			0.023		0.1M NaCl	[73]
2021	p([90:10]NDI-g3T2)			0.046		0.1M NaCl	[73]
2021	p([100:0]NDI-g3T2)			0.012		0.1M NaCl	[73]
2021	p([90.10]NDI-T2)			0.04		0 1M NaCl	[73]
2021	p([100:0]NDI-T2)			0.04		0.1M NaCl	[73]
2021	BBL <sub>15</sub>	$3.59 \times 10^{-3}$	540	1 94		0.1M NaCl	[74]
2022	BBL co	$9.39 \times 10^{-3}$	520	4 90		0.1M NaCl	[74]
2022	BBL as	0.0205	799	10.2		0.1M NaCl	[74]
2022	BBL	0.0203	580	25.9		0.1M NaCl	[74]
2022	$DDL_{152}$	0.0440	07	23.9		0.1M NaCl	[74]
2022	p(C-T)	0.009	97	0.7		0.1M NaCl	[75]
2022	$p(\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{I})$	0.039	15 52	4.5		0.1M NaCl	[/3]
2022	p(C-21)	0.019	33 165 9	1.0		0.1M NaCl	[/5]
2022	P(NDITEG-1)	$2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	165.8	0.04		0.1MI NaCI	[/6]
2022	P(NDIDTEG-T)	$9.4 \times 10^{-5}$	221.5	0.21		U.IM NaCl	[/6]
2022	P(NDIDEG-T)	0.0212	239.9	0.51		0.1M NaCl	[/6]
2022	P(NDIMTEG-T)	0.0223	250.9	0.56		0.1M NaCl	[76]
2022	P(gPzDPP-2T)	$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	134	0.22		0.1M NaCl	[77]

2022	P(gPzDPP-CT2)	0.019	91	1.72	0.1M NaCl	[77]
2022	AIG-BT	$1.4  imes 10^{-3}$	83.5	0.12	0.1M NaCl	[41]
2022	$p(g_7NC_2N)$	$2.00  imes 10^{-3}$	180	0.36	0.1M NaCl	[78]
2022	$p(g_7NC_4N)$	$1.46 \times 10^{-3}$	126	0.18	0.1M NaCl	[78]
2022	$p(g_7NC_6N)$	$2.29  imes 10^{-3}$	150	0.34	0.1M NaCl	[78]
2022	$p(g_7NC_8N)$	$6.01  imes 10^{-3}$	199	1.19	0.1M NaCl	[78]
2022	$p(g_7NC_{10}N)$	0.0120	153	1.83	0.1M NaCl	[78]
2022	$p(g_7NC_{12}N)$	$6.50  imes 10^{-3}$	100	0.66	0.1M NaCl	[78]
2022	$p(g_7NC_{16}N)$	$3.80  imes 10^{-3}$	86	0.33	0.1M NaCl	[78]
2022	4C1-PDI-4EG	$4.18  imes 10^{-4}$	303	0.13	0.1M NaCl	[79]
2022	4C1-PDI-3EG	$8.07 imes10^{-4}$	198	0.17	0.1M NaCl	[79]
2022	PDI-3EG	$3.79  imes 10^{-4}$	207	0.08	0.1M NaCl	[79]
2022	PNDI2TEG-2Tz	$3.16 \times 10^{-3}$	367	1.16	0.1M NaCl	[80]
2022	gNDI-EDBT	$3.96\times10^{-4}$	50.5	0.02	0.1M NaCl	[81]
2022	gNDI-BT	$5.69  imes 10^{-4}$	158.3	0.09	0.1M NaCl	[81]
2022	gNDI-FBT	$6.10  imes 10^{-4}$	196.6	0.12	0.1M NaCl	[81]
2022	f-BTI2g-TVT	0.014	110	1.50	0.1M NaCl	[82]
2022	f-BTI2g-TVTCN	0.24	170	41.3	0.1M NaCl	[82]
2022	gNR	0.013	198	2.5	0.1M NaCl	[83]
2022	hgNR	0.009	129	1.2	0.1M NaCl	[83]
2022	PBBTL+BBL	0.008	168	1.36	0.1M NaCl	[46]
2022	gNR	0.0178	288	5.12	0.1M NaCl	[84]
2022	4C1-PDI-4EG	$5.18 imes10^{-4}$	367	0.19	0.1M NaCl	[84]
2022	PrC <sub>60</sub> MA+p(g2T-TT)			11.8	0.1M KCl	[47]
2022	P4gNDI	$7.34  imes 10^{-6}$	219	0.00161	0.1M NaCl	[85]
2022	P4gNDTI	$1.42 \times 10^{-3}$	167	0.27	0.1M NaCl	[85]
2022	P(gTDPP2FT)	0.35	156	54.8	0.1M NaCl	[48]
2023	3gDNR	0.043	217	9.4	0.1M NaCl	[86]
2023	4gDNR	0.021	225	4.7	0.1M NaCl	[86]
2023	gNDI-V	0.014	144	2.31	0.1M KCl	[87]
2023	gNDI-T	0.0015	237	0.42	0.1M KCl	[87]
2023	$p(C_6g_3NDI-T)$	$1.02  imes 10^{-3}$	222	0.227	0.1M NaCl	[51]
2023	gAIID-T	0.002	43.0	0.09	0.1M NaCl	[88]
2023	gAIID-2FT	0.049	99.8	4.09	0.1M NaCl	[88]
2023	BBL <sub>H</sub>	9.2	1007.1	9.27	0.1M KCl	[89]
2023	$BBL_L$	0.52	539.8	0.28	0.1M KCl	[89]
2023	$Cu_3(HHTP)_2$	0.30	67.8	20.3	$0.05M \text{ CaCl}_2$	[52]
2023	gNDI-Br2	$6.4 imes10^{-4}$	203.2	0.13	0.1M NaCl	[90]
2023	TDPP-CN-G7	0.005	165.2	0.80	0.1M NaCl	[91]
2023	TDPP-RD-G7	0.075	78.6	5.88	0.1M NaCl	[91]
2023	t-gdiPDI	$8.3  imes 10^{-4}$	254	0.21	0.1M NaCl	[92]
2023	d-gdiPDI	$5.3  imes 10^{-4}$	628	0.33	0.1M NaCl	[92]
2023	lgTNR	0.03	141	4.2	0.1M NaCl	[93]
2023	bgTNR	0.29	106	31.6	0.1M NaCl	[93]
2023	poly(DPP-TPD)	0.11	68.58	8.05	0.1M KCl	[94]

<sup>a)</sup> For a fair comparison of intrinsic material properties, mechanically engineered active channels or molecular weight-fractionated polymers are excluded.

Table S3. Performance	metrics of de	pletion-mode	OECTs based	on liquid	gate electrolytes.

	1			[	1 0		
	Activo	$\mu_{OECT}$	<i>C</i> *	[µC*] [F V <sup>−1</sup>	$\mu_{\text{OECT}} \times C^*$		
Year	materials <sup>a)</sup>	$\mathbf{V}^{-1}$	[ <b>F</b>	$cm^{-1}$	$[\mathbf{F}\mathbf{V}^{-1}]_{-1}$	Electrolyte	Ref.
		s <sup>-1</sup> ]	cm <sup>3</sup> ]	$s^{-1}$ ]	cm ' s ']		
2015	PEDOT:PSS		39.3			0.1M NaCl	[95]
2016	PEDOT:PSTFSILi100	0.23	26	20	6.1	0.1M NaCl	[96]
2016	PEDOT:PMATFSILi80	0.0024	27	0.15	0.06	0.1M NaCl	[96]
2016	PEDOT:PSS+5% EG	1.9	39	47	75	0.1M NaCl	[97]
2018	EG-P	3.226	31	100		0.1M NaCl	[98]
2018	Crys-P	4.336	113	490		0.1M NaCl	[98]
2010	PEDOT:PSS+[EMIM]	2.9	07	225		0.1M NoCl	[00]
2019	[TCM]	5.0	07	333		0.11vi MaCi	[99]
2019	PEDOT:PSS (PSPT)	0.437				0.1M NaCl	[100]
2020	pEDOT:ClO <sub>4</sub>		102			0.01M PBS	[101]
2020	pEDOTOH:ClO <sub>4</sub>		227			0.01M PBS	[101]
2020	p(EDOT-ran-EDOTOH):ClO <sub>4</sub>		153			0.01M PBS	[101]
2020	PFDOT:PSS+GOPS	0.016				PBS+0.1M	[102]
2020		0.010				NaCl	[102]
2020	PEDOT:PSS+[EMIM][C1]	2.26	37.3	84		0.1M NaCl	[103]
2020	PEDOT:PSS+Triton X	2.4	38	91		0.1M NaCl	[104]
2020	PEGDA:PEDOT		1.28			0.1M NaCl	[105]
2020	P(Py:BPDSA:Py)-0		51			0.1M NaCl	[106]
2020	P(Py:BPDSA:Py)-25		85			0.1M NaCl	[106]
2020	P(Py:BPDSA:Py)-50		678			0.1M NaCl	[106]
2020	P(Py:BPDSA:Py)-75		663			0.1M NaCl	[106]
2020	P(Py:BPDSA:Py)-100		672			0.1M NaCl	[106]
2021	SA-PEDOT:PSS microfiber	12.86	122.2	1500		0.1M NaCl	[107]
2021	ACE-PEDOT:PSS microfiber	7.34	63.9	445		0.1M NaCl	[107]
2021	PEDOT:PSS+EMIM Cl			235		0.1M NaCl	[108]
2021	PEDOT:PSS+EMIM OTF	4.538	78	354		0.1M NaCl	[108]
2021	PEDOT:PSS+EMIM TFSI			314		0.1M NaCl	[108]
2021	PEDOT:PSS+EMIM TCM	4.787	89	426		0.1M NaCl	[108]
2022	PEDOT:PSS+GOPS (acetone)	1.083	~48	52		0.1M KCl	[109]
2022	PEDOT:PSS+GOPS (NMP)			47		0.1M KCl	[109]
2022	PEDOT:PSS+GOPS (DMSO)			56		0.1M KCl	[109]
2022	PEDOT:PSS (DMSO)	3.333	~48	160		0.1M KCl	[109]
2022	PEDOT:PSS+[EMIM][PF6]+DBSA	38.281	12.8	490		PBS	[110]
2022	PSS 33k-1.1	1.17	41	31.7		0.1 M KCl	[111]
2022	PSS 145k-1.1	0.92	48	32.2		0.1 M KCl	[111]
2022	PSS 144k-1.7	5.16	31	142.4		0.1 M KCl	[111]
2022	PEDOT:PSS+PBA		2.4		1.3	PBS	[112]
2022	PEDOT:PSS+15-crown-5	4.895	76	372		0.1M NaCl	[113]
2022	PEDOT:PSS+[MTEOA][MeOSO <sub>3</sub> ]	3.741	75.87	283.80		0.1M NaCl	[114]
2022	PBFDO <sup>b)</sup>			180		0.1M NaCl	[115]
2022	PEDOT:PSS+PVA	2.6	83	252		0.1M NaCl	[116]
2022	Br2-P			27.1		0.1M NaCl	[117]
2022	Br5-P			6.12		0.1M NaCl	[117]
2022	TFSI5-P			50.7		0.1M NaCl	[117]
2022	PEDOT-N <sub>3</sub>		226			PBS	[118]
2023	Nafion-PEDOT:PSS	21	7.8	166		PBS	[56]
2023	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -treated PEDOT:DBSA			55.6		NaCl	[119]

 $^{2023}$   $^{H_2SO_4-treated PEDOI:DBSA}$   $^{55.6}$   $^{NaCl}$  [119]  $^{a)}$  For a fair comparison of intrinsic material properties, mechanically engineered active channels or molecular weight-fractionated polymers are excluded.  $^{b)}$  *n*-Type material.

**Table S4.** Coherence lengths and paracrystalline disorder parameters extracted from (010) scattering peaks in the GIXS results of the oxidized polymer films.

Polymer	L <sub>c,010</sub> <sup>a)</sup> [nm]	g010 [%]
PDPP-2EG	$4.8\pm0.3$	$10.4\pm0.3$
PDPP-3EG	$4.9\pm0.1$	$10.2\pm0.2$
PDPP-4EG	$5.1\pm0.3$	$10.2\pm0.3$
PDPP-5EG	$5.2\pm0.1$	$10.0\pm0.1$

<sup>a)</sup>Averaged over at least three oxidized films for each polymer.

#### References

[1] Y. Li, O. Sachnik, B. van der Zee, K. Thakur, C. Ramanan, G.-J. A. H. Wetzelaer, P. W. M. Blom, *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **2021**, *9*, 2101149.

[2] P. de Bruyn, A. H. P. van Rest, G. A. H. Wetzelaer, D. M. de Leeuw, P. W. M. Blom, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *111*, 186801.

[3] S. S. Hegedus, E. A. Fagen, J. Appl. Phys. **1992**, 71, 5941.

[4] S. Inal, J. Rivnay, P. Leleux, M. Ferro, M. Ramuz, J. C. Brendel, M. M. Schmidt, M. Thelakkat, G. G. Malliaras, *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 7450.

[5] C. B. Nielsen, A. Giovannitti, D.-T. Sbircea, E. Bandiello, M. R. Niazi, D. A. Hanifi, M. Sessolo, A. Amassian, G. G. Malliaras, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 10252.

[6] A. Giovannitti, D.-T. Sbircea, S. Inal, C. B. Nielsen, E. Bandiello, D. A. Hanifi, M. Sessolo, G. G. Malliaras, I. McCulloch, J. Rivnay, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2016**, *113*, 12017.

[7] M. M. Schmidt, M. ElMahmoudy, G. G. Malliaras, S. Inal, M. Thelakkat, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *219*, 1700374.

[8] A. Giovannitti, K. J. Thorley, C. B. Nielsen, J. Li, M. J. Donahue, G. G. Malliaras, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2018**, *28*, 1706325.

[9] L. R. Savagian, A. M. Österholm, J. F. Ponder Jr., K. J. Barth, J. Rivnay, J. R. Reynolds, *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1804647.

[10] C. Cendra, A. Giovannitti, A. Savva, V. Venkatraman, I. McCulloch, A. Salleo, S. Inal, J. Rivnay, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29*, 1807034.

[11] L. Q. Flagg, C. G. Bischak, J. W. Onorato, R. B. Rashid, C. K. Luscombe, D. S. Ginger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2019**, 141, 4345.

[12] Z. S. Parr, R. Halaksa, P. A. Finn, R. B. Rashid, A. Kovalenko, M. Weiter, J. Rivnay, J. Krajčovič, C. B. Nielsen, *ChemPlusChem* **2019**, *84*, 1384.

[13] P. Schmode, D. Ohayon, P. M. Reichstein, A. Savva, S. Inal, M. Thelakkat, *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 5286.

[14] B. V. Khau, L. R. Savagian, M. De Keersmaecker, M. A. Gonzalez, E. Reichmanis, *ACS Mater. Lett.* **2019**, *1*, 599.

[15] A. Savva, R. Hallani, C. Cendra, J. Surgailis, T. C. Hidalgo, S. Wustoni, R. Sheelamanthula, X. Chen, M. Kirkus, A. Giovannitti, A. Salleo, I. McCulloch, S. Inal, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2020**, *30*, 1907657.

[16] P. Schmode, A. Savva, R. Kahl, D. Ohayon, F. Meichsner, O. Dolynchuk, T. Thurn-Albrecht, S. Inal, M. Thelakkat, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12*, 13029.

[17] A. Giovannitti, R. B. Rashid, Q. Thiburce, B. D. Paulsen, C. Cendra, K. Thorley, D. Moia, J. T. Mefford, D. Hanifi, D. Weiyuan, M. Moser, A. Salleo, J. Nelson, I. McCulloch, J. Rivnay, *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, 1908047.

[18] S. T. Keene, T. P. A. van der Pol, D. Zakhidov, C. H. L. Weijtens, R. A. J. Janssen, A. Salleo, Y. van de Burgt, *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, 2000270.

[19] Z. S. Parr, R. B. Rashid, B. D. Paulsen, B. Poggi, E. Tan, M. Freeley, M. Palma, I. Abrahams, J. Rivnay, C. B. Nielsen, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2020**, *6*, 2000215.

[20] A. T. Lill, D. X. Cao, M. Schrock, J. Vollbrecht, J. Huang, T. Nguyen-Dang, V. V. Brus, B. Yurash, D. Leifert, G. C. Bazan, T.-Q. Nguyen, *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, 1908120.

[21] M. Moser, L. R. Savagian, A. Savva, M. Matta, J. F. Ponder, T. C. Hidalgo, D. Ohayon, R. Hallani, M. Reisjalali, A. Troisi, A. Wadsworth, J. R. Reynolds, S. Inal, I. McCulloch, *Chem. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, 6618.

[22] M. Moser, T. C. Hidalgo, J. Surgailis, J. Gladisch, S. Ghosh, R. Sheelamanthula, Q. Thiburce, A. Giovannitti, A. Salleo, N. Gasparini, A. Wadsworth, I. Zozoulenko, M. Berggren,

E. Stavrinidou, S. Inal, I. McCulloch, Adv. Mater. 2020, 32, 2002748.

[23] X. Wu, Q. Liu, A. Surendran, S. E. Bottle, P. Sonar, W. L. Leong, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2021**, *7*, 2000701.

[24] T. Nicolini, J. Surgailis, A. Savva, A. D. Scaccabarozzi, R. Nakar, D. Thuau, G. Wantz, L. J. Richter, O. Dautel, G. Hadziioannou, N. Stingelin, *Adv. Mater.* **2021**, *33*, 2005723.

[25] M. Moser, A. Savva, K. Thorley, B. D. Paulsen, T. C. Hidalgo, D. Ohayon, H. Chen, A. Giovannitti, A. Marks, N. Gasparini, A. Wadsworth, J. Rivnay, S. Inal, I. McCulloch, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 7777.

[26] S. Zokaei, R. Kroon, J. Gladisch, B. D. Paulsen, W. Sohn, A. I. Hofmann, G. Persson, A. Stamm, P.-O. Syrén, E. Olsson, J. Rivnay, E. Stavrinidou, A. Lund, C. Müller, *Adv. Sci.* 2021, 8, 2002778.

[27] N. Wang, L. Xie, H. Ling, V. Piradi, L. Li, X. Wang, X. Zhu, F. Yan, *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2021**, *9*, 4260.

[28] L. Huang, Z. Wang, J. Chen, B. Wang, Y. Chen, W. Huang, L. Chi, T. J. Marks, A. Facchetti, *Adv. Mater.* **2021**, *33*, 2007041.

[29] G. Krauss, F. Meichsner, A. Hochgesang, J. Mohanraj, S. Salehi, P. Schmode, M. Thelakkat, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31*, 2010048.

[30] H. Jia, Z. Huang, P. Li, S. Zhang, Y. Wang, J.-Y. Wang, X. Gu, T. Lei, *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2021**, *9*, 4927.

[31] M. Moser, J. Gladisch, S. Ghosh, T. C. Hidalgo, J. F. Ponder Jr., R. Sheelamanthula, Q. Thiburce, N. Gasparini, A. Wadsworth, A. Salleo, S. Inal, M. Berggren, I. Zozoulenko, E. Stavrinidou, I. McCulloch, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31*, 2100723.

[32] R. K. Hallani, B. D. Paulsen, A. J. Petty, R. Sheelamanthula, M. Moser, K. J. Thorley, W. Sohn, R. B. Rashid, A. Savva, S. Moro, J. P. Parker, O. Drury, M. Alsufyani, M. Neophytou, J. Kosco, S. Inal, G. Costantini, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 11007.

[33] B. Ding, G. Kim, Y. Kim, F. D. Eisner, E. Gutiérrez-Fernández, J. Martín, M.-H. Yoon, M. Heeney, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 19679.

[34] J. J. Samuel, A. Garudapalli, A. A. Mohapatra, C. Gangadharappa, S. Patil, N. P. B. Aetukuri, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31*, 2102903.

[35] R. Giridharagopal, J. Guo, J. Kong, D. S. Ginger, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2021**, *13*, 34616.

[36] Y. Wang, A. Hamidi-Sakr, J. Surgailis, Y. Zhou, H. Liao, J. Chen, G. Zhu, Z. Li, S. Inal, W. Yue, *J. Mater. Chem. C* 2021, *9*, 13338.

[37] M. Moser, Y. Wang, T. C. Hidalgo, H. Liao, Y. Yu, J. Chen, J. Duan, F. Moruzzi, S. Griggs, A. Marks, N. Gasparini, A. Wadsworth, S. Inal, I. McCulloch, W. Yue, *Mater. Horiz.* **2022**, *9*, 973.

[38] J. Hopkins, K. Fidanovski, L. Travaglini, D. Ta, J. Hook, P. Wagner, K. Wagner, A. Lauto, C. Cazorla, D. Officer, D. Mawad, *Chem. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 140.

[39] H. J. Kim, K. Perera, Z. Liang, B. Bowen, J. Mei, B. W. Boudouris, ACS Macro Letters 2022, 11, 243.

[40] L. Lan, J. Chen, Y. Wang, P. Li, Y. Yu, G. Zhu, Z. Li, T. Lei, W. Yue, I. McCulloch, *Chem. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 1666.

[41] Z. S. Parr, J. Borges-González, R. B. Rashid, K. J. Thorley, D. Meli, B. D. Paulsen, J. Strzalka, J. Rivnay, C. B. Nielsen, *Adv. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 2107829.

[42] S. E. Chen, L. Q. Flagg, J. W. Onorato, L. J. Richter, J. Guo, C. K. Luscombe, D. S. Ginger, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2022**, *10*, 10738.

[43] Y. Dai, S. Dai, N. Li, Y. Li, M. Moser, J. Strzalka, A. Prominski, Y. Liu, Q. Zhang, S.

Li, H. Hu, W. Liu, S. Chatterji, P. Cheng, B. Tian, I. McCulloch, J. Xu, S. Wang, *Adv. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 2201178.

[44] R. B. Rashid, A. M. Evans, L. A. Hall, R. R. Dasari, E. K. Roesner, S. R. Marder, D. M. D'Allesandro, W. R. Dichtel, J. Rivnay, *Adv. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 2110703.

[45] T. C. Hidalgo Castillo, M. Moser, C. Cendra, P. D. Nayak, A. Salleo, I. McCulloch, S. Inal, *Chem. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 6723.

[46] X. Wu, T. L. D. Tam, S. Chen, T. Salim, X. Zhao, Z. Zhou, M. Lin, J. Xu, Y.-L. Loo, W. L. Leong, *Adv. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 2206118.

[47] E. Stein, O. Nahor, M. Stolov, V. Freger, I. M. Petruta, I. McCulloch, G. L. Frey, *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 5548.

[48] P. Li, J. Shi, Y. Lei, Z. Huang, T. Lei, *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 5970.

[49] M. Barker, T. Nicolini, Y. A. Yaman, D. Thuau, O. Siscan, S. Ramachandran, E. Cloutet, C. Brochon, L. J. Richter, O. J. Dautel, G. Hadziioannou, N. Stingelin, *Mater. Horiz.* **2023**, *10*, 248.

[50] B. T. DiTullio, L. R. Savagian, O. Bardagot, M. De Keersmaecker, A. M. Österholm, N. Banerji, J. R. Reynolds, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**, *145*, 122.

[51] S. Griggs, A. Marks, D. Meli, G. Rebetez, O. Bardagot, B. D. Paulsen, H. Chen, K. Weaver, M. I. Nugraha, E. A. Schafer, J. Tropp, C. M. Aitchison, T. D. Anthopoulos, N. Banerji, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 7964.

[52] J. Song, H. Liu, Z. Zhao, X. Guo, C.-k. Liu, S. Griggs, A. Marks, Y. Zhu, H. K.-w. Law, I. McCulloch, F. Yan, *Sci. Adv.* **2023**, *9*, eadd9627.

[53] L. C. Llanes, A. T. Lill, Y. Wan, S. Chae, A. Yi, T. Nguyen-Dang, H. J. Kim, L. Sepunaru, J. Read de Alaniz, G. Lu, G. C. Bazan, T.-Q. Nguyen, *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2023**, *11*, 8274.

[54] J. Tropp, D. Meli, R. Wu, B. Xu, S. B. Hunt, J. D. Azoulay, B. D. Paulsen, J. Rivnay, *ACS Mater. Lett.* **2023**, *5*, 1367.

[55] B. Ding, I.-Y. Jo, H. Yu, J. H. Kim, A. V. Marsh, E. Gutiérrez-Fernández, N. Ramos, C. L. Rapley, M. Rimmele, Q. He, J. Martín, N. Gasparini, J. Nelson, M.-H. Yoon, M. Heeney, *Chem. Mater.* **2023**, *35*, 3290.

[56] L. Zhang, S. Khayour, G. Ren, S. He, J. Wang, L. Yu, Y. Song, C. Zhu, X. Kang, Y. Zhang, Z. Gong, K. Gao, J. Wang, H. Sheng, G. Lu, H.-D. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2023**, *11*, 7272.

[57] S. Cong, J. Chen, B. Ding, L. Lan, Y. Wang, C. Chen, Z. Li, M. Heeney, W. Yue, *Mater. Horiz.* **2023**, DOI: 10.1039/D3MH00418J.

[58] R. Halaksa, J. H. Kim, K. J. Thorley, P. A. Gilhooly-Finn, H. Ahn, A. Savva, M.-H. Yoon, C. B. Nielsen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202304390.

[59] C. Liu, J. Deng, L. Gao, J. Cheng, Y. Peng, H. Zeng, W. Huang, L.-W. Feng, J. Yu, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2023**, *9*, 2300119.

[60] A. Giovannitti, C. B. Nielsen, D.-T. Sbircea, S. Inal, M. Donahue, M. R. Niazi, D. A. Hanifi, A. Amassian, G. G. Malliaras, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, *7*, 13066.
[61] H. Sun, M. Vagin, S. Wang, X. Crispin, R. Forchheimer, M. Berggren, S. Fabiano, *Adv. Mater.* 2018, *30*, 1704916.

[62] A. Giovannitti, I. P. Maria, D. Hanifi, M. J. Donahue, D. Bryant, K. J. Barth, B. E. Makdah, A. Savva, D. Moia, M. Zetek, P. R. F. Barnes, O. G. Reid, S. Inal, G. Rumbles, G. G. Malliaras, J. Nelson, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 2945.

[63] A. Savva, D. Ohayon, J. Surgailis, A. F. Paterson, T. C. Hidalgo, X. Chen, I. P. Maria, B. D. Paulsen, A. J. Petty II, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, S. Inal, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2019**, *5*, 1900249.

[64] C. G. Bischak, L. Q. Flagg, K. Yan, C.-Z. Li, D. S. Ginger, ACS Appl. Mater.

Interfaces 2019, 11, 28138.

[65] A. F. Paterson, H. Faber, A. Savva, G. Nikiforidis, M. Gedda, T. C. Hidalgo, X. Chen, I. McCulloch, T. D. Anthopoulos, S. Inal, *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1902291.

[66] M. Kawan, T. C. Hidalgo, W. Du, A.-M. Pappa, R. M. Owens, I. McCulloch, S. Inal, *Mater. Horiz.* **2020**, *7*, 2348.

[67] A. F. Paterson, A. Savva, S. Wustoni, L. Tsetseris, B. D. Paulsen, H. Faber, A. H. Emwas, X. Chen, G. Nikiforidis, T. C. Hidalgo, M. Moser, I. P. Maria, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, T. D. Anthopoulos, S. Inal, *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 3004.

[68] X. Chen, A. Marks, B. D. Paulsen, R. Wu, R. B. Rashid, H. Chen, M. Alsufyani, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 9368.

[69] D. Ohayon, A. Savva, W. Du, B. D. Paulsen, I. Uguz, R. S. Ashraf, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, S. Inal, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2021**, *13*, 4253.

[70] I. P. Maria, B. D. Paulsen, A. Savva, D. Ohayon, R. Wu, R. Hallani, A. Basu, W. Du, T. D. Anthopoulos, S. Inal, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, A. Giovannitti, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31*, 2008718.

[71] J. Surgailis, A. Savva, V. Druet, B. D. Paulsen, R. Wu, A. Hamidi-Sakr, D. Ohayon, G. Nikiforidis, X. Chen, I. McCulloch, J. Rivnay, S. Inal, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, *31*, 2010165.

[72] K. Feng, W. Shan, S. Ma, Z. Wu, J. Chen, H. Guo, B. Liu, J. Wang, B. Li, H. Y. Woo, S. Fabiano, W. Huang, X. Guo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 24198.

[73] A. A. Szumska, I. P. Maria, L. Q. Flagg, A. Savva, J. Surgailis, B. D. Paulsen, D. Moia, X. Chen, S. Griggs, J. T. Mefford, R. B. Rashid, A. Marks, S. Inal, D. S. Ginger, A. Giovannitti, J. Nelson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 14795.

[74] H.-Y. Wu, C.-Y. Yang, Q. Li, N. B. Kolhe, X. Strakosas, M.-A. Stoeckel, Z. Wu, W. Jin, M. Savvakis, R. Kroon, D. Tu, H. Y. Woo, M. Berggren, S. A. Jenekhe, S. Fabiano, *Adv. Mater.* **2021**, *33*, 2106235.

[75] Y. Wang, E. Zeglio, L. Wang, S. Cong, G. Zhu, H. Liao, J. Duan, Y. Zhou, Z. Li, D. Mawad, A. Herland, W. Yue, I. McCulloch, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2022**, *32*, 2111439.

[76] D. Jeong, I.-Y. Jo, S. Lee, J. H. Kim, Y. Kim, D. Kim, J. R. Reynolds, M.-H. Yoon, B. J. Kim, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2022**, *32*, 2111950.

[77] J. Shi, P. Li, X.-Y. Deng, J. Xu, Z. Huang, Y. Lei, Y. Wang, J.-Y. Wang, X. Gu, T. Lei, *Chem. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 864.

[78] A. Marks, X. Chen, R. Wu, R. B. Rashid, W. Jin, B. D. Paulsen, M. Moser, X. Ji, S. Griggs, D. Meli, X. Wu, H. Bristow, J. Strzalka, N. Gasparini, G. Costantini, S. Fabiano, J. Rivnay, I. McCulloch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 4642.

[79] H. Liao, J. Chen, L. Lan, Y. Yu, G. Zhu, J. Duan, X. Zhu, H. Dai, M. Xiao, Z. Li, W. Yue, I. McCulloch, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2022**, *14*, 16477.

[80] Y. Zhang, G. Ye, T. P. A. van der Pol, J. Dong, E. R. W. van Doremaele, I. Krauhausen, Y. Liu, P. Gkoupidenis, G. Portale, J. Song, R. C. Chiechi, Y. van de Burgt, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2022**, *32*, 2201593.

[81] S. Cong, J. Chen, L. Wang, L. Lan, Y. Wang, H. Dai, H. Liao, Y. Zhou, Y. Yu, J. Duan, Z. Li, I. McCulloch, W. Yue, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2022**, *32*, 2201821.

[82] K. Feng, W. Shan, J. Wang, J.-W. Lee, W. Yang, W. Wu, Y. Wang, B. J. Kim, X. Guo, H. Guo, *Adv. Mater.* **2022**, *34*, 2201340.

[83] J. Duan, G. Zhu, L. Wang, J. Chen, S. Cong, X. Zhu, Y. Zhou, Z. Li, I. McCulloch, W. Yue, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2022**, *32*, 2203937.

[84] G. Zhu, J. Chen, J. Duan, H. Liao, X. Zhu, Z. Li, I. McCulloch, W. Yue, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces **2022**, 14, 43586.

[85] I. P. Maria, S. Griggs, R. B. Rashid, B. D. Paulsen, J. Surgailis, K. Thorley, V. N. Le, G. T. Harrison, C. Combe, R. Hallani, A. Giovannitti, A. F. Paterson, S. Inal, J. Rivnay, I.

McCulloch, Chem. Mater. 2022, 34, 8593.

- [86] J. Duan, G. Zhu, L. Lan, J. Chen, X. Zhu, C. Chen, Y. Yu, H. Liao, Z. Li, I. McCulloch, W. Yue, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202213737.
- [87] J. Chen, S. Cong, L. Wang, Y. Wang, L. Lan, C. Chen, Y. Zhou, Z. Li, I. McCulloch, W. Yue, *Mater. Horiz.* **2023**, *10*, 607.
- [88] Y. Wang, G. Zhu, E. Zeglio, T. C. H. Castillo, S. Haseena, M. K. Ravva, S. Cong, J. Chen, L. Lan, Z. Li, A. Herland, I. McCulloch, S. Inal, W. Yue, *Chem. Mater.* **2023**, *35*, 405.
- [89] J. Guo, L. Q. Flagg, D. K. Tran, S. E. Chen, R. Li, N. B. Kolhe, R. Giridharagopal, S. A. Jenekhe, L. J. Richter, D. S. Ginger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**, *145*, 1866.
- [90] S. Kang, J. Fan, J. B. P. Soares, M. Gupta, *RSC Adv.* **2023**, *13*, 5096.
- [91] K.-K. Liu, P. Li, Y. Lei, Z. Zhang, X. Pan, S. K. So, T. Lei, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2023**, *33*, 2300049.
- [92] Y. Yu, G. Zhu, L. Lan, J. Chen, X. Zhu, J. Duan, S. Cong, Z. Li, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, I. McCulloch, W. Yue, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2023**, *33*, 2300012.
- [93] J. Duan, G. Zhu, J. Chen, C. Zhang, X. Zhu, H. Liao, Z. Li, H. Hu, I. McCulloch, C. B. Nielsen, W. Yue, *Adv. Mater.* **2023**, *35*, 2300252.
- [94] A. Erhardt, A. Hochgesang, C. R. McNeill, M. Thelakkat, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2023**, *9*, 2300026.
- [95] J. Rivnay, P. Leleux, M. Ferro, M. Sessolo, A. Williamson, D. A. Koutsouras, D. Khodagholy, M. Ramuz, X. Strakosas, R. M. Owens, C. Benar, J.-M. Badier, C. Bernard, G. G. Malliaras, *Sci. Adv.* **2015**, *1*, e1400251.
- [96] S. Inal, J. Rivnay, A. I. Hofmann, I. Uguz, M. Mumtaz, D. Katsigiannopoulos, C. Brochon, E. Cloutet, G. Hadziioannou, G. G. Malliaras, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.* **2016**, *54*, 147.
- [97] J. Rivnay, S. Inal, B. A. Collins, M. Sessolo, E. Stavrinidou, X. Strakosas, C. Tassone, D. M. Delongchamp, G. G. Malliaras, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 11287.
- [98] S.-M. Kim, C.-H. Kim, Y. Kim, N. Kim, W.-J. Lee, E.-H. Lee, D. Kim, S. Park, K. Lee, J. Rivnay, M.-H. Yoon, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3858.
- [99] X. Wu, A. Surendran, J. Ko, O. Filonik, E. M. Herzig, P. Müller-Buschbaum, W. L. Leong, *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 1805544.
- [100] L. V. Lingstedt, M. Ghittorelli, H. Lu, D. A. Koutsouras, T. Marszalek, F. Torricelli, N. I. Crăciun, P. Gkoupidenis, P. W. M. Blom, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2019**, *5*, 1800804.
- [101] S. Wustoni, T. C. Hidalgo, A. Hama, D. Ohayon, A. Savva, N. Wei, N. Wehbe, S. Inal, *Adv. Mater. Technol.* **2020**, *5*, 1900943.
- [102] K. Tang, W. Miao, S. Guo, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater. 2021, 3, 1436.
- [103] X. Wu, A. Surendran, M. Moser, S. Chen, B. T. Muhammad, I. P. Maria, I. McCulloch, W. L. Leong, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12*, 20757.
- [104] J. Ko, X. Wu, A. Surendran, B. T. Muhammad, W. L. Leong, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 33979.
- [105] V. Bertana, G. Scordo, M. Parmeggiani, L. Scaltrito, S. Ferrero, M. G. Gomez, M. Cocuzza, D. Vurro, P. D'Angelo, S. Iannotta, C. F. Pirri, S. L. Marasso, *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 13335.
- [106] J. H. Kim, Z. Ahmad, Y. Kim, W. Kim, H. Ahn, J.-S. Lee, M.-H. Yoon, *Chem. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, 8606.
- [107] Y. Kim, H. Noh, B. D. Paulsen, J. Kim, I.-Y. Jo, H. Ahn, J. Rivnay, M.-H. Yoon, *Adv. Mater.* **2021**, *33*, 2007550.
- [108] X. Wu, M. Stephen, T. C. Hidalgo, T. Salim, J. Surgailis, A. Surendran, X. Su, T. Li, S. Inal, W. L. Leong, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2022**, *32*, 2108510.
- [109] T. Nguyen-Dang, S. Chae, K. Harrison, L. C. Llanes, A. Yi, H. J. Kim, S. Biswas, Y.

Visell, G. C. Bazan, T.-Q. Nguyen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2022, 14, 12469.

[110] L. Wang, Q. Sun, L. Zhang, J. Wang, G. Ren, L. Yu, K. Wang, Y. Zhu, G. Lu, H.-D. Yu, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2022**, *43*, 2200212.

[111] C.-Y. Lo, Y. Wu, E. Awuyah, D. Meli, D. M. Nguyen, R. Wu, B. Xu, J. Strzalka, J. Rivnay, D. C. Martin, L. V. Kayser, *Polym. Chem.* **2022**, *13*, 2764.

[112] A. C. Tseng, T. Sakata, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2022, 14, 24729.

[113] M. Stephen, X. Wu, T. Li, T. Salim, K. Hou, S. Chen, W. L. Leong, *Mater. Horiz.* **2022**, *9*, 2408.

[114] T. Li, J. Y. Cheryl Koh, A. Moudgil, H. Cao, X. Wu, S. Chen, K. Hou, A. Surendran, M. Stephen, C. Tang, C. Wang, Q. J. Wang, C. Y. Tay, W. L. Leong, *ACS Nano* **2022**, *16*, 12049.

[115] H. Tang, Y. Liang, C. Liu, Z. Hu, Y. Deng, H. Guo, Z. Yu, A. Song, H. Zhao, D. Zhao, Y. Zhang, X. Guo, J. Pei, Y. Ma, Y. Cao, F. Huang, *Nature* **2022**, *611*, 271.

[116] J. H. Kim, M. Wieland, B. Omiecienski, Y. Kim, J. Park, G. Kim, S. Ludwigs, M.-H. Yoon, *Flex. Print. Electron.* **2022**, *7*, 044005.

[117] Y. Liang, H. Tang, C. Zhang, C. Liu, L. Lan, F. Huang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2022**, *14*, 51165.

[118] G. E. Fenoy, R. Hasler, F. Quartinello, W. A. Marmisollé, C. Lorenz, O. Azzaroni, P. Bäuerle, W. Knoll, *JACS Au* **2022**, *2*, 2778.

[119] Š. Tumová, R. Malečková, L. Kubáč, J. Akrman, V. Enev, L. Kalina, E. Vojtková, M. Pešková, J. Víteček, M. Vala, M. Weiter, *Polym. J.* **2023**, DOI: 10.1038/s41428.